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#### Abstract

R-F impedance-measuring instruments are needed in the military services for the study, installation, and maintenance of antennas, transmission lines, and high-frequency components. Commercial equipments available in this field do not meet military design and construction requirements. These instiument designs are based on a variety of techniques, viz, bridge, resonant and tuin-T circuits, slotted-line principles, etc., and each possesses its own adrantages and limitations and is more or less adaptable to military requirements.

As an instrument useful the m:litary services, the General Radio Company type 916-A r-f impedance bridge was specifically studied to determine the feasibility of producing a satisfactory military version thereof. Using a special T-network, a technique was devised that provided for the comparison of measurements on a standard impedance made by the bridge under test and by a reference bridge, so that onty the test bridge was subjected to adverse ambient conditions. The performance of the 916-A was better than had been anticipated; however, several deficiencies were detected, e.g. (a) the method of specifying the bridge accuracy, (b) the method of identifying transformers and indicating whichone is in the carcuit, (c) the lack of a tool necessary to change transformers, and (d) an incomplete instruction book. The correction of these deficiencies and the inclusion of certain desirable modifications such as (a) only one transformer to cover the entire frequency range, or internal switching if more than one transformer is required, (b) an improved drive ratio and stops for the initial balance controls, (c) an increased drive ratio and a longer scale for the reactance control, (d) the removal of the resistor from the plug of the unknown connecting lead and its insertion in the bridge proper, (e) the reduction of size and weight to a practical minimum, and (f) the use of a metal case atoug with appropriatecircuit ruggedization, should result in an instrument satisfactory for military use.


## PROBLEM STATUS

This is a final report on the problem. Unless otheruise notified by the bureau, the Laboratory will consider the problem closed thirty days from the mailing date of this report.

## AUTHORIZATION

NRL Problem R10-70
BuShips Problem S1547 and NE 101-116
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## R-F IMPEDANCE BRIDGES FOR MILITARY USE

## IN TRODUCTION

A deinite need for commercially available $1-f$ impedance-measuring devices has been established for the study, installation, and maintenance of Navy electronic equipment which involves the use of antennas, transmission lines, and high-frequency components. Although the inherent inadequacies of many such commercial equipments under military conditions are recognized, these instruments are now in use because corresponding military equipment is not available. A broad survey of all pertinent factors and specific investigations of the effects of temperature, altitude, humidity, and shock and vibration on ore (commercially available) r-f bridge uas requested by the Bureau of Ships. The vast scope of this problem necessitates a rather complete discussion of all background material related to the use of $r$-f bridges in the laboratory as well as in the field. Since the ultimate intent of any impedance-measuring instrument and or technique is the achevement of accurate measurements in the shortest possible time, a general eriticism of bridge methods cannot be avoided. Bridges do not occupy a unique position in the r-f Impedance-measurement field and are acceptable for military use only insofar as they compare favorably with such measurement networks as twin-T or resonant circuits.

In this problem actual field measurements cannot be made and used as a basis for evaluating the effectiveness of the instruments to be studied. Thls situation exists as a result of time and fiscal limitations and because actual field tests would subject all auxitiary equipment and their interconnections to the same condltioning. Consequently, the difficulty of actualiy evaluating only the bridge unit would be increased. On the other hand, in the laboratory it is possible to study the bridge under simulated field conditions and at the same time to isolate the auxiliary equipment. In this regard, it is apparent that any basic laboratory techniques which are required will be adaptable to the study of many types of impedance-measuring instruments other than $r-f$ bridges.

The specific problem objectives covered in this report are:
(a) The discussion of the readily determined features and limitations of several $r-f$ impedance-measuring instruments (assuming their contempiated use under military conditions):
(b) The derivation of techniques for the study oi $r-f$ bridges in the laboratory so that any deleterious effects which arise from the bridge alone will be isolated,
(c) A study on the general characteristics and normal operation of the General Radio type 916-A r-f impedance bridge, and
$\overline{\overline{1}_{\text {BuShips }} \operatorname{Itr} \text { S67-(15)(837) Serial 837D-240, \& May } 1950 ~}$
(d) A study on the effects of temperature, humidity, altitude, and shock and vibration upon the Gencral Radio type $916-\mathrm{A}$ r-f impedance bridge.

A rather complete dissertation on factors affecting precise r-i impedance measurements under military co..ditions has been given by Comor ${ }^{2}$ for frequencies up to VHF.

## BRIEF EVALUATION OF PACKAGED INSTRUMENTS

## Navy Model OH-1 Bridge

In this study, the model OH-1 (General Radio type 516-A) ${ }^{3}$ is of particular interest because it represents an attempt to package an r-f impedance-measuring instrument for use in the Naval services. Figure 1 shows this equipment together with a heterodyne receiver and a signal generator set up for laboratory measurements and connected in a manner designed to assure shiedded connections and an adequate ground plane. The bridge unit is housed in a metal cabinet (lid not showin Figure 1 ) which 'as a covered opening in the front panel to facilitate the changing of internal bridge transformers. Two transformers are provided to cover the frequency ranges of 25 to 500 kc and 500 to 5000 kc . In addition, three pairs of ratio-arm resistors are provided to give maximum bridge sensitivity over the entire frequency range. These elements are also connected into the bridge through the opening in the front panel.


Figure 1 - Nasy model (oll-1


[^1]The OH-1 bridge, a basic eircuit of which is seen in Figure 2, can be used as an equalarm capacitance bridge and thus provides direct measurements of an unknown impedance $\left(Z_{X}\right)$ in terms of equivalent-series resistance ( $R_{N}$ ) and equivalent-series capacitance ( $C_{N}$ ). This circuit can also be made to measure the unknown in terms of equivalent-series capacitance and power factor* or dissipation factor. Such measurementsare accomplished by setting $R_{N}$ to zero, and adjusting $C_{A}$ to obtain resistive balance, and $C_{N}$ to obtain reactive balance. Capacitor $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$ indicates directly the series capacitance of the unknown for either the equal-arm or Schering bridge technowes. Resistor $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is calibrated to read equivalent-series resistance directly at all frequencies throughout the measurement range. Capacitor $C_{A}$, however, is calibrated to read power factor directly at 1 Mc with the $100-$ ohm ratio arms in place, at 100 kc with the 1000 -ohm ratio arms, and at 10 kc with the 10,000 -ohm ratio arms. For other frequencies, the porer factor must be multiplied by the frequency in megacycles. This comphication makes the bridge more flexible but also somewhat more difficult to use. The instrument is essentially a direct-reading bridge but can be used with many substitution techniques, both series and parallel.


Fbutere 2- Basis armait of (11-1 bridge

It is believed that the flesibilaty and sound design used in the $\mathrm{OH}-1$ bridge makes it an instrument with consideratite utity even to the present date. The convenient method provided for changing internat bridge elements is particularly advantageous. The steel case implies ruggedness far beyond the degree of abuse to which the internal bridge elements should be subjected and thus gives a false confidence as to the over-all ruggedness of the
 has many current uses; however, a:s the upper timit of 5 Mc is approached, the limitations of residual parameters, the direct-measurement techniques, and the bridge-transformer design introduce errors greater than are normally acceptable.

[^2]
## RESTRICTED

## General Radio Type 916-A R-F Bridge

The general physical characteristics of the 316-A bridge and an arrangement for using it in the laboratory with the necessary generator, detector, and test-specimen connection is shown in Figure 3. A good ground plane is essential to the proper utilization of this instrument particularly at frequencies approaching the upper limit of 60 Mc . Since nne of its principal applications is in the measurement of antenna characteristics it is obvious that this instrument is designed for field use. In this regard, it makes a good example for careful study in this survey.


General Radio Type 916-AL R-F Bridge
The General Radio type 916 -AL r-f bridge, a new instrument destgned to make measurements below the lower limit of the 916 - A bridge ( 0.4 Mc ), is capable of accurate meas urements down to 50 kc . Measurements with this instrument can be made at frequencies up to 5 Mc using procedures nearly identical to those required by the 916 -A bridge. The main difference between these instruments lies in their frequency ranges and the fact that an expanded reactance range (extra dial) is used in the $916-\mathrm{Al}$, bridge. Figure 4 show the front panel of a 916 -AL bridge which has been subjected to severe fleld-service conditions ior many months. The general dial calibrations ranging from 0 to 1000 -ohms resistance and 0 to 11,000 -ohms reactance are augmented by means of a $\Delta X$ dial which provides a change of 100 ohms of reactance. This instrument is included in the present survey because

Radio twin-T circuit ${ }^{4}$ (Figure 6) are given by

$$
G_{L}-R_{w} C_{1} C_{2}\left(1 \cdot \frac{C_{C}}{C_{3}}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\smile_{B}+C_{1} C_{2}\left(\frac{1}{C_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{2}}+\frac{1}{C_{3}}\right)-\frac{1}{\omega^{2} L}=0 .
$$

If a substitution technique is employed when an unknown is connected across $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}$, the admittance constituents of this unknown can be determined in terms of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{G}}, \mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$, and R . Thus

$$
G_{X}=\frac{R \omega^{2} C_{1} C_{2}}{C_{3}} \Delta C_{G}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{X}}=\omega \Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

Thus, the $C_{G}$ and $C_{B}$ dials can be directly calibrated in ierms of $G_{X}$ and $B_{X}$, respectively.




[^3]The principal features of the type $821-\mathrm{A}$ twin-T circuit are (a) the absence of internal shielded transformers, (b) measurement of an unknown in terms of admittance components (parallel-substitution), and (c) ability to measure low values of equivalent shunt conductance. The direct-reading conductance range at 1 Mc is from 0 (oper circuit) to 100 micromhos. The measurement precision of this instrument is an additional matter for further study when the use of the unit in the field is contemplated eventually.

Boonton Types 160-A and :70-A Q-Meters
The Boonton Q-meters (Figure 7a and Tb) are extremely flexible self-contained instruments capable of making a wide sariety of $r$ - $f$ impedance

 measurements. Although these instruments were not designed for rugged field ust, their versatility makes them a significant factor in this survey. The electrical circtitry of the measuring network for each instrument is essentially that of Figure 8. The basis for impedance measurements made with these instruments can be established by studying the network analysis associated with a series-resonant combination of inductor and capacitor driven by a constant voltage source. The G-meter principles h.we no inherent limitations, i.e.. when parallel-substitution ranges expire, series-substitution methods are applicable. However, the frequency range of the included generator, the sensitivity of the vacuum tube volt meter, and the internal residual parameters do impose definite measurement limitations. Since this survey is intended to explore the feasibility of fleld measurements, it is appropriate to recognize the extreme versatility of the $Q$-meter technique and to suggest future development of such devices for this purpose.


## RESTRICTED <br> SECURITY INFORMATION



Figuri \& - Fumbatmentil (ircum of O-nce:

General Radio Type 1601 -A VHF Bridge
This survey cannot be considered complete without a brief mention of some representative whimpedance-measuring instruments. Figure 9 shous the General Radio type $1601-\mathrm{A}$ wh bridge and a possible combination of auxiliary equpment which includes the HewlettPackard model 608-A signal generator and the hewlett-Packard model 417-A wh detector. The 1601-A, an instrument designed to measure r-fimpedances betreen 10 and 165 Mc. uses a series-substitution bridge circuit simbar to the one employed in the : 16 - A r-f bridge. ${ }^{5}$ The resistance range (0 to 200 ohms) is independent of frequency whereas the reactance range ( 0 to $\pm 2 ? 0$ ohms at 100 Mc ) is direct-reading only at 100 Mc . For meas urements at frequencies other than 100 Mc , the reactance reading is diblded by the frequency in hundreds of megacycles. The instrument has one fixed internal bridge transformer. Special care must be exercised in shorting the unknown terminals for establishing initial-balance conditions. This instrument, which does not have a completely enclosed case. was designed primarily for use on the laboratory bench. and special care must be exercised when it is carried into the field.

figure ? - General kadiotyne bot-A viff bridge

[^4]Hewlett-Packard Model 803-A VHF Bridge
The instruments mentiened so far have all used sther conventional bidge circuits of resonant circuits. At very high frequencies, a departure from these chassicat method has been introduced in the form of a "slotted-line" bridge. The Hewlett-Packard model 803-A whf bridge, whech is shown in Figure 10 with its auxiliary generator and detcono, does not use the same method of operation as employed in conventional slotted-fine procedures." The measured characteristics of the unknown impedance are not presented in terms of resistance and reactance (or conductance and susceptance) as in a true bridere but instead. are int roduced in terms of absolute impedance and a phase angle. Thus, the technique is related somewhat to the voltmeter-ammeter-watmeter method for measuring impedances at audiofrequencies. The way in which this instrument is packased makes it easily adapted 10 field operations. From a brief study of this bridge and its auxithary equipment it is apparent that the latter could easily be the weakest eiement when the equament is used under rigorous field conditions. This instrument is a currenty avalable device for the measurement of $r-f$ impedances over a frequency range of 50 to 500 Mc (phase angle: from $-90^{\circ} 10+90^{\prime \prime}$ ): it can be extended to 5 and 700 Mc with restricted range of phase angle. The useful impedance range covers from 2 to 2000 ohms. The ranges mailable make this instrument useful in solving many laboratory and field measurement problems.


Figure 10-Hewleti-Fackard Type 803-AVHFRridge

## A TECHNIQUE TO EVALUATE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON AN R F BRHDGE

General Considerations
The laboratory determination of temperature and humidity effects on equipment usualt involves the use of a test chamber in which the equipment is exposed to controlled conditions. In establishing the operating characteristics of the equipment one primary factor requires special consideration, i.e., the means of electrically connecting the equipment under test to reference standards or measuring instruments which are being used to monitor the test

[^5]unit. There are two general approaches to this problem. First, the monitoring units can be placed directly in the rhamber with the unit under test. Generally, this approach is not practical because either the monitoring equipment is too large to fit into the remaining chanber space or, more important still, the measurements made by the monitoring equipment would contain unknown errors resulting from the effects of the test-chamber conditions. A second method of determining the operating characteristics is to leave the monitoring units outside the test chamber and transfer the quantity to be monitored. In using this second procedure, quantities such as frequency, voltage, etc., can be readily transfer red with little or no effect on their magnitude. On the other hand, certain quantities cannot be transferred without appreciable effect on their magnitude unless special means are provided to accomplish the transfer. One item in this latter category is $r$-fimpedance, the specific case at hand.

## A Particular Solution

The specific probtem was to determine the effects of temperature and humidity on the General Radio type 916-A r-f impedance bridge without including any effects on the impedance being measured or the associated auxiliary connections. An attempt to obtain standard impedances that would be independent of the adverse test-chamber cunditions was considered impractical. Thus, the only approach left was to find a special means of connecting the impedance standard (on the outside of the chamber) through the wall to the bridge (on the inside). To be sure that any change in indicated impedance could be directly attributed to the bridge under test, it was decided that the connection scheme should provide for direct monitoring of its own stability and also the constancy of the impedance standard.

A symmetrical $T$-network having an equivalent circuit essentially as diagrammed in Figure it was devised to implement this measurement. Figure 12, a view of the actual network as constructed for this particular application, shows the front and bottom sides and a transfer standard ( $Z_{X}$ ) connected in place. The right-hand coaxial portion of the $T$ is the part of the network that was inserted in the test-chamber wall; the left-hand portion was made identical merely to maintain network symmetry. Figure 13 is a close-up view of the back and top with the cover plate removed to show the switch ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) and its symmetrical layout. In Figure 11, $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, Z_{1}^{\prime}$, and $Z_{2}^{\prime}$ all represent the impedance-to-ground of the feed-through insulators in the coaxial portion of the network. Here $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ represent the series inductance of the center coaxial conductor, which has a diameter of $3 / 16$ inch. This conductor, along with the $1 / 8$-inch-wall outer conductor, represents a good thermal transfer medium through the test -chamber wall. Thus, at low test temperatures, water condensation on the surface of the insulator (represented by impedance $Z_{2}^{\prime}$ ) could easily develop and directly upset the impedance balance of the network. To prevent this condition, a blower was used to create an air stream over the surface of the insulator.

As a result of careful design and construction, $Z_{2}$ equale $7_{2}^{\prime}$ and $L$ equals $L^{\prime}$; however, $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ does not equal $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ because of the slightly different ground-strap configuration which consequently contributes different amounts of shunt capacitance to $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{1}^{\prime}$. This small inequality can be eliminated easily in the normal procedure of measurement which is as follows:
(a) With $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ grounded (Figure 11), use the impedance-measuring instrument (a $Q$-meter in this particular problem) to determine impedance equality of the two arms of the $T$ when switch $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is in positions 2 and 4, respectively. (In this specific application, the adjustment of $Z_{1}$ equal to $Z_{1}^{\prime}$, i.e., equalizing the impedances of the two arms of the $T$, is accomplished by adjusting a small strip of copper attached to the ground strap of bridge $A$. The position of the strip relative to the high potential conductor provides a small variation in the shunt capacitance of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ ).

## RESTRICTED <br> SECURITY INFORMATION



Figure 11-Equivalent circuit of symmetrical T-network


Figure 12 - Front and bottom view of symmetrical T-network

Figurel3-Back and topview of symmetrical T-network witi, cover removed

(b) Change $S_{A}$ and $S_{B}$ in order to connect the bridges to the $T$-network. Then measure the transfer impedance $Z_{C}$ xith each bridge when $S_{C}$ is in positions 1 and 3 . respectively.

Thus, the circuit provides for ready checking of its arm stability as well as the stability of the transfer standard at any time during the test progran.

Figure 14, an outside view of the test chamber, shows the blower and the network installed and connected to the reference bridge A. Figure 15, a close-up view insade the test chamber, shows the connection of the test bridge to the T-network.


[^6]

Figure 15 - Inside view of test chamber showing connection of test bridge to symmetrical T-network

GENERAL RADIO TYPE 916-A R-F BRIDGE
Description
The 916-A r-f bridge ${ }^{7.8}$ is a null instrument for measuring impedances in the nominal frequency range of 400 kc to 60 Mc . By series substitution, the bridge measures an unknown impedance, $Z_{X}$. in terms of its series-resistance component, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{X}}$, and its seriesreactance component, $X_{X}$. The resistive component is read from a variable-capacitor dial directly calibrated in ohms resistance ( $0-1000$ ) : this calibration is independent of frequency. The reactive component is also read from a variable-capacitor dial which is calibrated directly in ohms reactance ( $0-5000$ ); this calibration, however, is direct-reading unly at 1 Mc. The ratio of actual impedance to indicated impedance (dial reading) decreases linearly with frequency, and therefore, reactance dial readings are divided by the operating frequency in megacycles.

[^7]
## Basic Theory

Using the $916-\mathrm{A}$, the fundamental circuit of which is shown in Figure 16, an unknown is measured by first balancing the bridge with the UNKNOWN terminals short-circuited: then the short circuit is replaced by the unknown impedance and the bridge is rebalanced. When the UNKNOWN terminats are short-circuited, the bridge-balance equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{p}=R_{B} \frac{C_{A_{1}}}{C_{N}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{j \omega C_{P_{1}}}=\frac{R_{B}}{R_{A}} \frac{1}{j \omega C_{N}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the short circuit is replaced by the unknown impedance $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{X}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{X}}+j \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}}$. the balance equations become

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{P}+R_{X}=R_{B} \frac{C_{A_{2}}}{C_{N}} \tag{1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j X_{X}+\frac{1}{j L_{P_{2}}}=\frac{R_{B}}{R_{A}} \frac{1}{j_{U} C_{N}} \tag{2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the unknown resistance, $R_{X}$, and unknown reactance, $X_{X}$, can be expressed in terms of the bridge parameters as follous:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}_{2}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}_{1}}\right) \tag{1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}}=\frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}_{1}}}\right) \tag{2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these expressions it can be seen that $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is proportional to the change in the capacitance $C_{A}$, and the proportionality factor is determined by the fixed resistance $R_{B}$ and the fixed capacitance $C_{N}$. Similarly, $X_{X}$ is a function of the frequency and the change in the reactance oi Cp.

Specified Range and Accuracy
Specified range and accuracy of the type 916 -A bridge ${ }^{0,7}$ is as fottows:
"FREQUENCY RANGE: 400 kc to 60 Mc .
REACTANCE RANGE: $0-5000$ ohms at I Mc. This range varies inversely as the frequency, and at other frequencies the dial reading must be divided by the frequency in megacycles.
RESISTANCE RANGE: 0 to 1000 -ohms.

ACCURACY: For reactance, at frequencies up to $50 \mathrm{Mc}, \pm(24+1 \Omega+0.0008 \mathrm{Rf})$, where $R$ is the measured resistance in ohms and $f$ is the frequency in $M c$.

For resistance, at frequencies up to $50 \mathrm{Mc}, 1(1 \%, 0.1 \Omega)$, subject to correction for residual parameters. At high frequencies the correction depends upon the frequency and the magnitude of the unknown resistance component. At tow Irequencies the correction depends upon the frequency and upon the magnitude of the unknown reactance component. Plots of both of these corrections are given in the instruction book that is supplied with the bridge.

Satisfactory operation can be obtained at frequencies up to 60 Mc with somewhat poorer accuracy above 50 Mc than at lower frequencies."


Figure 16 - Fundamental circuit of General
Radio Type 916.Ar-f bridge

A general tabutation of characteristics for all General Radio bridges ${ }^{7}$ indicates that the approximate accuracies for the 916 - A are two percent for reactance and one percent for resistance.

The reactance specification $(\approx 2 ;$. $1 \Omega$ - 0.0008 Rf ) is not explicitly stated because the third term does not carry any indicated unit. The inference. however, is that the unit is ohms and that " f " is used as a numerical value without units. This third term can thus be added directly to the second term (1 $\Omega$ ). A clearer understanding of this specification can be obtained by presenting it in graphical form. In Figure 17, measurement error in percent has been plotted against unknow:n reactance for various values of frequency and unknown resistance. it is immediately apparent that the measurement error is variable and has a twopercent minlmum.

Bridge Design and Operating Procedures
Specific operating details and certain features of the bridge can be analyzed without maklng measurements or without subjecting the bridge to any conditioning processes. The instrument in its luggage-style carrylng case is shown in Figure 18 with the cover in place. Figure 19, a view of the inside of the cover, shows the stowage of the instruction book and accessory cables supplled with the brldge. The front panel and all the bridge controls are shown in Figure 20.

The front panel of any test or measurement lnstrument should be marked and labeled in such a manner that the operation is as self-explanatory as possible. The markings certainly should not leave the operator in doubt or lead to any misunderstanding of the intended operation. It is felt that the 916 - A bridge is deficient in this respect.




Figure lf - Luggage-style tarrying case of the Gencral kadio lype 916-A r-f impedance bridge with the cover in place

Figure 19 - Inside view of cover showing instruction book and accessory cables



Auxiliary Equipment - ln additon th the !rider itself. a generator and a detector are necessary to complete the measurement setup. The generator should be a well-shielded oscillator capable of producing an output voltage on the order of 1 to 10 volts. The detector should be a well-shielded recelver havint a sensitivity on the order of 1 to 10 microvolts. To facilitate the adjustment of the bridge to a null, the receiver should have an $r-f$ sensitivity control and should also be equipped to cut out the atutomatic gain control. A typical laboratory setup is shown in Figure 3.

Preliminary Adjustments - The subjects of grounding and stray pickup appear to be adequately presented in the operating and maintenance instructions. 8 Deviations from the prescribed procedures should not be made unless the operator is well-versed in r-f circuits and bridge theory.

The bridge uses transformer input. To cover the specified frequency range, two transformers are employed: one for 400 kc 103 Mc and the other for 3 to 60 Mc . These transformers employ an interwinding shield and are specially designed for this bridge appltation. They are individuatly adjusted so as to introduce negligible measurement er ror over their designed frequency range. Only one transformer is mounted to the front panel and connected into the circuit at any one time. The other tansformer is carried on the Inside of the access panet which must be opened to change transformers (Figure 21). Even though the unused transformer is not directly comected, it nisus be properly mounted to the access panel because it is indirectly a part of the circuit since it contributes to the bridge capacitance $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}$.

Several aspects of the method used to incorporate the transformers are among the bridge deficiencies. First of all, it would be far better to have both transformers permanently installed so that only a switch would have to be operated in order to change from
one transformer to the other. This method would eliminate the necessity for opening the bridge case, and as a result, a possible source of damage to the bridge elements would be removed. The case could be more effectively sealed against dirt and other foreign particles - an important consideration when this type of instrument is intended for field use. Also, the transformers themselves would not be subjected to repeated handling, and thus the possibility of damage, maladjustment, or improper connection into the circuit would be practically nil. A second deficiency of the present design lhes in the method of indicating which transformer is in the circuit. The transformers are designated Pland P2, and each has an attached pin that shows through a similarly designated hole in the panel. thus indicating which transformer is in the circuit (Figure 20). There is no panel marking, however, to indicate the frequency range associated with P1 and P2. In addition. this information is not ever available in the operating instructions and can be determined only by examining the transformers themselves or the parts list. Thus. since the operator must determine that the transformer covering the intended measurement frequency is connected into the circuit, he should be able to do so directly from the bridge panel markings. A third point that should be mentioned concerns the changing of transformers in the present model. To change a transformer, the panel nut of the "generator" connector must be unscrewed: the remainder of the connector is constructed as an integral portion of the transformer. Since the proper installation of a transformer requires the use of a wrench to loosen and tighten the panel nut. a tool for this purpose should be included with the accessories of the bridge.


Figure 21 - Input tronsformer on the General Radio 1 ype ald - A bradge

Measurement Techniques - After sietting the l-C switch and the cantrated dials to their appropriate positions, the basic measurement procedure then consists of connecting the "high" lead of the brldge to ground and mating an lnitial balance. Then the high lead is connected to the high terminal of the grounded unknowin. and the bridge is rebalanced by using the callbrated dials. The difference between the inltial and final setting, corrected when necessary, gives the resistance and reactance of the unknowin. The instruction book ${ }^{8}$ together with the instrument panel markings adequately cover most detailed measurement procedures: some, however, that are insufficiently outlined are discussed in the following paragraphs along with other aspects of bridge operation.

The initial balance controls are a possible source of difficulty in operating the bridge. Neither the panel markings nor the instruction book properly indicate the situation that actually exists. From Figure 20 it will be observed that botio initial balance controls have pointer knobs. From this arrangement, the operator gets the impression that the total variatlon of the parameter being adjusted will occur for an angle of rotation not exceeding $360^{\circ}$. Both of these controls are adjustable air capacitors. The resistance initial balance has a direct drive, and as aresult, the total effective change wecurs in $180^{\circ}$. The reactance inltial balance, however, has a drive ratio (4-1 2 to l) which results in spreading the total change over $810^{\circ}$ of knob rotation. Thus, if the position of the reactance-initlal-balance control is not close to the balance conditlon, it is possible for the operator to arrlve at the erroneous conclusion that an initial balance camot be obtained. Also, these controls do not have stops at their extremes of variation. This fact leads to ambiruity and possible measurement errors because the operator is left in doubt ats to whether he is tuning to a true null or to an artificial null which exists at the minimum or maximum capacitance settings.

The initial balance, particularly at lower frequencies, can actually be made over a range of settings of the reactance dial. * The instruction look ${ }^{8}$ indicates the nominal limlts as follow's:

L Position ------- 0-1000 uhms
C Position --.-- 4000-5000 ohms.

The inductlve reactance of the connecting lead is the main factor in determinme the actual limits. Thus, as the frequency is increased, the limits move further up the reactance scale. There is a frequency above which an intlat balance cannot be obtained at 0 in the L position, and, at a sillghtly higher frequency, for 5000 ln C position. The frequency at which thls condltion occurs depends upon the length of the connecting lead. This change does not introduce any corresponding error lin neasurement because the inductlve reactance cancels out in the series-substitutlon techniques. Such an effect reduces the react ance range of the bridge: it can be compensated, however. by placing a small capacitur in series with the connecting lead to neutralize the inductance.

Typical curves taken from the Instruction look ${ }^{8}$ are showit in Figure 22 a for the shift in initial balance vs. frequency associated with both the short and long connecting leads supplied wlth the bridge. Simllar curves applicable to the short lead only are presented in Figures $22 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$, and $d$ for the test brldge $E$ and the comparison bridges $F$ and $G$, respectively.
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Figure 22 (a and b) Limits for initial setting of reactance dial


Figure 22 (c and d) - Limits for mitial setting of reactance dial

A comparison of these figures shows that for the $L$ position the curves of the three individual bridges correspond closely to the typical curve. The curves for the $C$ position, however, show lack of similarity in several ways. For bridge $E$ the curve of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {min }}$ corresponds at low frequencies but instead of rising with increasing frequency it drops off and then increases sharply to a peak value and again drops off. The same curves for bridges $F$ and $G$ are nearly alike, but show retatively little change throughout the frequency range. The $C_{\text {max }}$ setting, as specified, was found to be greater than 5000 for bridge $E$. For the comparison bridges, however, $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ (indicated by the appropriate curve) was less than 5000 over most of the irequency range. The result, a reduction in the maximum available range of $C$ measurenent, is believed attributable to changes in one or more bridge parameters caused by handling or long-time ageing.

Interpretation of Observations - It is insportant to note that both the resistance and reactance scales, exe ept for the low ends which approach linearity, are approximately logarithmic. These characteristics are depicted in graphic formin Figure 23a and 23b. The semilog plots clearly show the approximate logarithmic catibration of most of each dial. This characteristic is particularly significant because measurements are made on a substitution basis and involve the difference of two readings. As a result, the proper appraisal of the precision of any dial reading and the correct use of significant figures is most important in arriving at a justifiable value for the unknown impedance.

The first of these two readings represents the initial balance and in reality is not an abstract reading because the operator attempts to set the dials to definite values. Thus, it is significant to know how precisely this setting can be made. A special test was established to determine this and other similar factors. Special scales, calibrated in degrees and attached to the regular bridge diats, were used to determine the angular precision of the dial setting. The smallest scale division was 0.1 degree, and it was possible to estimate quarter units of this division or 0.025 degree. Six people made five attempts each to set the reactance dial to exactly 5000 ohms. and there was no significant difference in the results for each individual. The thirty settings are summarized in graphical form in Figure 24 a . Therefore, except for one case out of thirty, it was possible to set the impedance dials within $\pm 0.025$ degree. From Figure $23 a$ it is apparent that the slope of the reactance-dial calibration at 5000 ohms is about 260 ohms per degree and 0.025 degree is equivalent to approximately 6.5 ohms . Considering the single trial for which the error was 0.05 degree, it is possible to set the reactance dial to 5000 ohms with a precision of $\pm 13$ ohms. Similarly, the dial can be set to 0 with a precision of $\pm 0.15$ ohm. The most important factor contributing to variations in setting the dial to any catibrated value is the coarseness of the calibration and index lines.

The second dial reading obtained in the process of measuring an unknown impedance is from the final balance, and this reading is truly abstract because the position of the dial depends on the impedance being measured. A series of tests, undertaken by the same operators as before, were conducted to obtain an indication of how fine an taterpolation couid be made on the reactance dial. The largest single dial space, 1000-1100 oims, was used in order to obtain the most favorable result. The test series was conducted to determine how closely the dial could be set to a value of 1050,1025 , and 1010 ohms. This test amounted to subdividing the dial division into $1 / 2,1 / 4$, and $1 / 10$ units, respectively. Each operator performed each of the three tests six times, and an interval of at least two hours was maintained between tests in order to reduce any influence of one test upon another. The results are presented in Figure 24b, c, and d. In the 1050 -ohm test, practically all settings were made to values actually higher than 1050 ohms instead of centering them around the correct value. This result occurred because all operators overemphasized a logarithmic subdivision, whereas the true subdivision of this log-scale interval is nearly linear. The maximum deviation from the true value is 0.35 degree of arc or about 9 ohms, and consequently there should be no difficulty in estimating half divisions.

## RESTRICTED SECURITY INFORMATION




Figure 23 - Resistance and reactance dial indications vs. dial rotation


Figure 24 - Determination of ability to set reactance dial to various values

For the 1025 -ohm test, the same overemphasis of the logarithmic division existed. The maximum deviation from the true value was 0.5 degree or about $i 3$ onms, but this wide deviation occurred for only one setting and all others remained within 10 ohms. As a result, there should be no amblguity in dividing the unit into quarters. ln the 1010 -ohm test. uncertainty in dividing by tenths as well as logarithmic overemphasis contributed to the variation in dial setting. The maximum deviation from the true value was 0.35 degree or about 9 ohms. Thus, since $1 / 10$ subdivislons are 10 ohms for this dial division, 9 ohms uncertainty in dial setting would result in an overlapping ambiruity of 8 ohms between successive $1 / 10$ subdivision: . It is therefore impractical to divide the dial divisions finer than $i / 4$, and thus, the preclsion of dial reading is $\pm 1$ division. This interpretation is considered valid for ail parts of the reactance dial except from 0 to 20 ohms and 4000 to 5000 ohms. For these two portions, the dial divisions are small and lt is not feasible to subdivide them more than once (that is, inio $1 / 2$ division units): thus the corresponding precision would be $\pm i / 4$ division.

The percent error in reactance based oniy on the precision with which the reactance dial can be read has been plotted in Figure $25 a$ as a function of reactance. The curves for inductive and capacitive reactance are the same up to 1000 ohms because their respective values are both measured on the same portion of the dial. in contrast for higher reactance values, the $X_{L}$ and $X_{C}$ curves are different because $X_{L}$ is measured on a different portion of the diai from that used for $X_{C}$ of the same magnitude. Also plotted in this figure is the specified accuracy which is found to be somewhat optimistic for capacitive reactance in the range 1000 to 1500 ohms. It should be noted that this comparison is not direct because the specified accuracy must account for all sources of ermor including limitations imposed by dlal precision.

A similar plot and comparlson for resistance (Figure 25b) shows that the percent error resulting from the precislon of dial reading only is never greater than the specified accuracy.

Portability - Since the 916-A bridge is suitable for measuring the impedance of antennas and other circuits that are essentially fixed installations, it is important to consider the portability of the equipment. The bridge unit, which is equivalent in weight and size to a well-loaded suitcase (about 35 pounds and $17 \times 13-1 / 2 \times i f$ inches) is relatively portabie. The bridge, however, is only one of three units necessary to make measurements: a signal generator and a receiver must be included in the complete setup. If the partlcular set of measurements to be made cover a series of different frequencies, it is possible that two signal generators and two receivers may be required to cover the range of interest. The total weight of enuipment, therefore, may easily reach i50 pounds.

Nosmal Operating Performance
While making impedance measurements under normal latoratory conditions, the actual operating characteristlcs of the particular test bridge were examined and compared with those of two other 916-A bridges.

Resolution and Precision - Since the null indication was generally narrower than a small fraction of a dial division, the resolution of the bridge was found to be satisfactory for all ranges. For certain values of unknown impedance, the resolution of the resistance and react ance dials are different. For example, there are instances where the resolution of the resistance dial is rather broad unless the reactance control has been adjusted is its ultmate null condition. As a consequence of the present ratio on the reactance vernier drive, this adjust ment sometimes becomes a rather delicate and tedious operation. For this reason, therefore. it would be advantageous to alter the drive ratio and thus produce a slower motion on the controlied capacitor.


Figure 25 - Reactance and resistance error based only on the precision of dial reading
 of the resistance dial. Becaust of the beed for geod r-f eharacteristics. Cieneral Radio type 663 resistors were used for values trom 1 tw 104 , hmo and General Radio type 500
 it: Figure 26. Eachreststar was motured on all thre type oti-A briges, and the results. are tabulated m Table 1.


Here the teot brider is destrated as F , and F and ( a afe the ture comparison bridges. The tablated de values of the test restion: atore obtand in using a precision wheatstone bridge to compare them agamst standardized restaturs. Tathe I is a "three-dimensional" type af presentation used to facilitate the comparison of the measured values as a functan of any of the three variables - reststance. frequency of bridee unt the vartation in resistance measurement as a function of frequemey for ans whe bridec and resistor is
 bidge units for any one frequency and resistame combination ts obtained from the approprate diagonal section. The exammatom of any single vertical colum of fipures permits the rapid analysis of all restaner meaturement: for any ne heridg at any ofe frequency.

The values in Tathe 1 have ath bern corrented acordang to the average correction data
 rected value differs from the meatured de walue by more than the specified bridge accuracy $1: 1$. 0.1 ohm). In estathenme this difference for the 30 Mc measurements, the tabulated de values uere adjusted to the effective series-resistance values for 30 Mc in accordance with the specificatlons given for type 663 restitors. ${ }^{4}$ For bridge $\mathrm{E}, 20$ of the 36 readings differed by more than the specified accuracy. whereas for bridges $F$ and $G$, the number is anly 5 and 2 , respectively. However, the majority of the measurement:; that exceed the limit are borderline cases and can justifiably be considered doubtful hecause the true $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{f}$ resistance is not known with certainty. The 1000 -ohm measurements were off scale at 3 Mc not because of bridge error but because there is an appreclable correction factor which results in the uncorrected balance being off scale.
'Generat Radio Company, Catatog M, pp. 38-39, Octuber 1;5t

TABLE 1
Direct Evaluation of Precision of Resistance Measurement

| DCResistance <br> (ohmı) | Bridge | Bridge Indication (ohms) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Transformer Pl |  | Transformer P2 |  |
|  |  | 400 kc | 3 Mc | 3 Mc | 30 Mc |
| 1.046 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 1.05 & & \\ & 1.0 & \\ & & 1.03 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 1.09 & & \\ & 1.03 & \\ & & 1.00 \end{array}$ | $1.04$ | $1.31^{*} 1.27^{*}$ |
| 1.993 | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2.05 & & \\ & 2.0 & 2.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2.05 & & \\ & 2.00 & \\ & & 2.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2.05 & & \\ & 1.95 & \\ & & 2.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 2.28^{*} & & \\ & 2.30^{*} & \\ & 2.27^{*} \end{array}$ |
| 4.999 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 5.1 & & \\ & 5.0 & \\ & & 5.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 5.05 & & \\ & 5.00 & \\ & & 5.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 5.05 & & \\ & 4.90 & \\ & & 5.00 \end{array}$ | $5.34^{*} 5.26^{*}$ |
| 10.03 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 10.2 & & \\ & 9.9 & 9.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 10.3^{*} & & \\ & 10.2 & \\ & & 10.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 10.2 & & \\ & 10.1 & \\ & & 10.0 \end{array}$ | $10.7^{*} 10.6^{*}$ |
| 20.17 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 20.5 & & \\ & 20.1 & \\ & & 20.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 20.5 & & \\ & 20.0 \\ & 20.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20.5 & \\ & 20.0 \\ & 20.0 \end{array}$ | $20.9^{*} 20.6 \quad \begin{array}{ll}  & \\ & 20.6 \end{array}$ |
| 50.02 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 51.0^{*} & & \\ & 50.0 \\ & 50.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 51.0^{*} & \\ & 50.0 \\ & 50.0 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{50.5}{ }^{50.0} \quad \begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & \\ & 49.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 52.4^{*} & & \\ & 50.0 & \\ & & 50.1 \end{array}$ |
| 100.7 | $\begin{aligned} & E \\ & F \\ & G \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 103^{*} & & \\ & 101 & \\ & & 100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 103^{*} & & \\ & 101 & \\ & & 100 \end{array}$ | $102^{*} 100 \quad l$ | $104^{*} 99.4^{*} 98.1^{*}$ |
| 200.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 205^{*} & & \\ & 201 & \\ & & 199 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 206^{*} & & \\ & 202 & \\ & & 200 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 204 * & & \\ & 201 & \\ & & 199 \end{array}$ | 1 $!$ |
| 500.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{G} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \hline 515^{*} & & \\ & 500 & \\ & & 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 520 * & & \\ & 505 & \\ & & 500 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 515^{*} & & \\ & 505 & \\ & & 500 \end{array}$ | ; |
| 1000 | E F G | $1050^{*}$ | 1.1 |  | $\pm$ ! |

*The difference between these values and the measured de value is greater than the specified arcuracy of the bridge ( $\pm 1 \%+0.1$ ohm).
$\dagger$ These readings were off scale, not because of bridge error, but because there is an appreciable correction factor which results in the uncorrected balance being off scale.
${ }^{\ddagger}$ The reactance of these resistors at 30 Mc is ${ }^{\circ}$ too high to be balanced on the bridge.

Intercomparison of Three 916-A Bridges - A set of impedance transfer standards was prepared to cover an assortment of impedance values within the range of the 916 - A bridge and for the three frequencies at which the bridge was specifically studied $-400 \mathrm{kc}, 3 \mathrm{Mc}$, and 30 Mc . These transfer standards were primarily intended for use in ascertaining what changes occurred in bridge indications under various environmental conditions. However, it was decided that a determination of the amount of difference existing in the measured impedance values obtained from the test bridge and the two comparison bridges would be significant. In a comparison of these measurements (Table 2), the test bridge $E$ consist ently indicates higher values for both $R$ and $X$ (except low values of $X$ ) than the comparison bridges. The difference in indication between the two comparison bridges is generally less than the difference in indication between the test bridge and either of the comparison bridges. A numerical index of this difference between indicated values can be established by dividing the maximum difference between any two of the three bridge indications by the average of the three and then multiplying by 100 to convert to a percentage. This "maximum deviation" ranged from 1.3 to 12.4 percent for $R$ measurements; 7 out of 20 exceeded 4 percent, and the average was 4.6 percent. The maximum deviation ranged from 0 to 153 percent for $X$ measurements: 7 out of 20 exceeded 10 percent, and the average was 24.7 percent. These computed maximum deviation values are shown in Table 3.

An attempt to interpret bridge quality directly from these data can be misleading because the specified accuracy of bridge measurement is not a constant. A more applicable figure of merit for each bridge measurement can be obtained by dividing the maximum deviation value by the specified bridge accuracy for the particular resistance or reactance value* (Table 3). Thus, a figure of merit of 1 means that the maximum deviation among bridge readings is equal to the specified accuracy. A figure of merit less than 1 means the maximum deviation is within the specified accuracy, whereas a figure of merit greater than 1 means the maximum deviation exceeds the specified accuracy. For the resistance component, 15 out of 20 are greater than 1 ; for reactance. 17 out of 20 exceed 1 . It is apparent, therefore, that at least one of the three bridges is not measuring within the specified accuracy.

Frequency-Range Overlap Error - In shifting from one range to another, the onty change made in the bridge is a substitution of input transformers. The data presented in Table 2 provide a basis to determine the difference in measurement that occurs for the iwo difierent transformers at the range overlap frequency of 3 Mc . The percent difference in these two readings, i.e., the difference between the two readings divided by the average value multiplied by 100 , is shown in Table 4 for several impedance values for each of the three bridges. The corresponding figures of merit are also pesented. In this case, it will be noted that only one figure of merit is over 1 and the amount by which it exceeds 1 , namely 0.07 , is so small that it could easily be within the region of uncertainty for dial reading. Disregarding this one case, the frequency-range overlap error is less than the specified accuracy for all three bridges.
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TABLE 2
Comparison of Measurements Made on Test Bridge and Two Comparison Bridges

| Frequency (Mc) | Transformer | Impedance Standard |  | Impedance |  |  |  |  |  | L-C Switch Setting used for Measurement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | R (ohm) <br> Bridge |  |  | X (ohm) <br> Bridge |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | No. | L or C | E | F | G | E | F | G |  |
| 0.4 | P1 | 16 | L | 885 | 855 | 850 | i7.5 | 17.0 | 17.0 | L |
|  |  | 15 | L | 167 | 162 | 162 | 2700 | 2550 | 2600 | L |
|  |  | 1 | C | 810 | 785 | 780 | 3 | 3 | 3 | L |
|  |  | 3 | C | 805 | 780 | 775 | 520 | 500 | 495 | L |
|  |  | 7 | C | 9.6 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 4367 | 4160 | 4180 | C |
| 3 | P1 | 9 | L | 855 | 830 | 820 | 25 | 33 | 36 | L |
|  |  | 10 | L | 18.7 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 4050 | 3900 | 3900 | L |
|  |  | 1 | $c$ | 800 | 780 | 775 | 155 | 147 | 144 | L |
|  |  | 3 | C | 795 | 770 | 765 | 674 | 641 | 630 | L |
|  |  | 6 | C | 6.4 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 4175 | 3980 | 3995 | C |
| 3 | P2 | 9 | 1. | 850 | 825 | 820 | 21 | 37 | 38 | L |
|  |  | 10 | L | 18.3 | 18.0 | 18.3 | 4100 | 3900 | 3900 | L |
|  |  | 1 | C | 795 | 775 | 770 | 160 | 147 | 144 | L |
|  |  | 3 | C | 790 | 770 | 765 | 680 | 640 | 630 | L |
|  |  | 6 | C | 6.2 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 4180 | 3990 | 4000 | C |
| 30 | P2 | 20 | L | 99.0 | 95.0 | 94.0 | 12.5 | 140 | 131 | L |
|  |  | 19 | 1. | 495 | 468 | 465 | 102 | 680 | 350 | L |
|  |  | 12 | L | 1.50 | 1.48 | 1.50 | 4600 | 4400 | 44000 | i |
|  |  | 21 | C | 283 | 270 | 265 | 2250 | 1800 | 1550 | C |
|  |  | 13 | C | 1.98 | 1.85 | 1.75 | 4303 | 4125 | 3745 | C |

TABLE 3
Maximum Deviation and Figure of Merlt Values for Measurements Tabulated in Table 2 Comparing Three Bridges

| Frequency (Mc) | Transformer | Impedance Standard Ne. | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{R}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diviation } \\ X \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Fibure }}{\text { R }}$ | Mcrit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | P1 | 16 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 0.60 |
|  |  | 15 | 3.1 | 5.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
|  |  | 1 | 3.8 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 |
|  |  | 3 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
|  |  | 7 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| 3 | $\rho 1$ | y | 4.2 | 35 | 2.1 | 1.5 |
|  |  | 10 | 2.2 | 3.8 | 0.65 | 0.94 |
|  |  | 1 | 3.2 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
|  |  | 3 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
|  |  | 6 | 9.8 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| 3 | 12 | 9 | 3.6 | 53 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
|  |  | 10 | 1.6 | 5.0 | 0.52 | 1.2 |
|  |  | 1 | 3.2 | 11 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
|  |  | 3 | 3.2 | 7.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
|  |  | 6 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 0.62 | 1.2 |
| 30 | P'2 | 20 | 5.2 | 140 | 2.4 | 12 |
|  |  | 19 | 6.3 | 150 | 3.1 | 14 |
|  |  | 12 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 0.08 | 1.1 |
|  |  | 21 | 6.6 | 38 | 2.4 | 7.8 |
|  |  | 13 | 12. | 14 | 0.10 | 3.4 |

TABLE 4
Frequency-Range Overlap Error

| Impedance Standard No. | Difference in Measurements at 3 Mc between Transformers P1 and P2* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R |  |  | X |  |  |
|  | Bridge |  |  | Bridge |  |  |
|  | E | F | G | E | F | G |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} 0.59 \\ (0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.60 \\ (0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & (0.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & (0.54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ (0.27) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (0.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & (1.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \\ (0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.64 \\ (0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.65 \\ (0.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 0.63 \\ (0.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.89 \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.16 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ (0.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.12 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.25 \\ (0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.13 \\ (0.03) \end{gathered}$ |

* Figures not in parenthesis indicate the difference in readings in percent. while those figures in parenthesis represent the corresponding fgure of merit values.


## Effects of Ambient Conditions

To determine how satisfactorily the bridge operated under various temperature and humidity conditions, it was placed in a chamber having both temperature and humidity control. Electrical connections to the bridge were made ly the technique previousiy described. Figure 27 shows the test bridge $E$ in position in the chamber and the comparison bridge $F$ outside the chamber; both are connected to the symmetrical $T$-network. Also shown are the $Q$-meter for checking the symmetrical $T$-network, the signal generator in the background, and one of the two recelvers used as the detector. Auxiliary mechanicai controls were added to the test bridge (Figure 28) to facilitate operating the bridge through a port in the chamber door during the environmentai tests.

To permit evaluation of both tiansformers. two identical runs were made for each environmental condition investigated with the bridge in operation. The frequencies used were 400 kc and 10 Mc . Each measurement was made twice using two different shorting methods to obtain the initial balance. In the first method (A), the standard procedure of clipping the high terminai iead to the ground post was used. In the second method (B) the impedance terminals on the symmetrical $T$-network were shorted. This duai scheme provided an auxiliary check on the condition of the symmetrical $T$-network. For the exposure and storage tests, oniy one run was made. "Before and after" measurements were made at 0.4 and 30 Mc , and their results were used to determine the ability of the bridge to withstand these tests. The various environmental tests and the resulting bridge performance will now be discussed in the order in which the tests were conducted. The summarized performance of the bridge during these tests is presented in Table 5.



 Lo fachlate operation daring emvitonmental ests

TABLE 5
Summary of Environmental Tests

| Test Description and Nominal Conditions | Freq. <br> (Mc) | Maximum Difference Among Values Measured During Test* $(\%)$ |  |  |  | Residual Changes ${ }^{\dagger}$ (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge F |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge F |  |
|  |  | R | X | R | X | R | X | R | X |
| Low Temperature, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
|  | 10 | 14 | 11 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 9.2 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 2.3 |
| High Temperature, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.4 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 0.7 | 9.1 | 4.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
|  | 10 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| High Humidity $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 38$ 多 R.H. to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 95^{\circ}$ R.H. | 0.4 | 6 | $\delta$ | 1.2 | 0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.7 |
|  | 10 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 |
| High-Altitude Exposure, 1.3 in. Hg at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 Hr . | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.9 |
|  | 30 | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 3.9 : | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Low - Temperature Storage $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 Hours | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 2.0 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
|  | 30 | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | $0.9{ }^{\text {1 }}$ | 0 | 0 |
| High-Temperature Storage $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 Hours | 0.4 | - | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.1 |
|  | 30 | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | $0.4{ }^{\text { }}$ | 0 | 0 |

*The maximurr percent difference among values measured during the test was computed by dividing the maximum difference in any two measurements in the test concerned by We awerage value of all the measurements of that test, times 100 .
'Residual change, in percent, is the difference between the initial and final $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ readings or thetwen the befnre and after readings (whechever applies to the particular tes!) divilled by the average of the two values concerned, times 100.
${ }^{6}$ No reading could be made at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ because the R initial balance point was beyond the range of the controt.
${ }^{1}$ The values do notinciude standard No 19 for which the test bridge measurements chanerd from inductive to capacitive reactance.

Low-Temperature Operation - The bridge was subjected to a low-temperature run of 25 to $-33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a return to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Measurements were made at these temperatures and at various intermediate points as the temperature was decreased. The bridge vas stabilized approximately one-half hour at each temperature before measurements vere made. The return from -33 to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was made overnight. At these low temperatures, the general physical operation of the bridge was found to be satisfactory except that the controls tended to become stiff and harder to move (but not to any serious degree). The data of Tables 5 and 6 show that the variations in the measured values of any one transfer standard at 0.4 Mc are relatively small and comparable to those of the comparison bridge. Also, there was relatively little residual changes, i.e.. difference between initial and final $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ readings. A similar temperature run was made at 10 Mc , and this time the measured values showed fairly large variations (Tables 5 and 7). The measurements on the comparison bridge, however, showed a similar shift but of lesser degree. The change in the test bridge should be modified by the amount of change in the comparison-bridge measurements. The net residual change, after adjusting for the similar change in the comparison-bridge measurements, is rather small.

High-Temperature Operation - The bridge was subjected to a high-temperature run of 25 to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a return to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : measurenients were made at these temperatures and various intermediate points. Physical operation of the bridge was the same as under normal iemperature conditions. Variations in measurements throughout the run were only moderate: upon return to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, however, there was a rather large residual shift in the higher-resistance measurement (Tables 5 and 8 ) which was substantially retained during the subsequent humidity test. A similar run was made at 10 Mc (Tables 5 and 9 ), and relatively little difference in measurements occurred ihroughout the run or between the initial and final $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ readings.

High-Humidity Operation - The bridge operating at 0.4 Mc was next subjected to a high-humidity run: the conditioning sequence and resulting measurements are given in Table 10 and summarized in Table 5. Operation of the bridge was not completely satisfactory at 95 -percent relative humidity because the balance point for the $R$ "initial. balance" control shifted beyond the range of the control; therefore, measurements could not be made. With impedances connected to the bridge, however, a sharp nult in the circuit indicated that otherwise the bridge was still functional. Residual change that occurred after overnight drying was rather small. No difficulty was encouniered in another run made at 10 Mc (Tables 5 and 11) and differences in measurenents and residual changes were both fairly small.

High-Altitude Exposure - After initial measurenents were made at both 0.4 and 30 Mc (Table 12), the bridge was subjected to a simulated one-hour high-altitude test with the pressure at $i .3$ inches of mercury and the iemperature at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The percent difference between initial readings and the readings after the test was small (Table 5) except for standard 19. Particularly when measuring this standard, the resistance balance of the test bridge appeared to have an erratic contact. This condition was worse after the altitude test, and satisfactory measurements could not be made at íirst. All control dials were given 15 complete cycles of operation. The system then appeared fairly normal except that the X balance was rather broad for standard 19 at 30 Mc , and the bridge indication was now approximately 40 to 80 ohms capacitive reactance. Before the altitude test, however, the measured value was 90 ohms inductive reactance. No specific reason was found for this malfunctioning of the bridge.

TABLE 0
Low-Temperature Test - 0.4 Mc

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Temp. } \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Standard |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge $F$ |  | Method of Initial Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Day } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | R (ohnl) |  | $\begin{gathered} R \\ (o h m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} X \\ (0!, m) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | No. | L or C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 17 | L | 422 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | B | 1000 |
|  | 17 | L | 427 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | A | 1015 |
|  | 4 | C | 179 | 2980 | 177 | 2875 | B | 1020 |
|  | 4 | C | 182 | 2990 | 178 | 2900 | A | 1025 |
| 14 | 17 | L | 42.5 | 2450 | $\therefore 10$ | 2350 | B | 11:5 |
|  | 17 | L | 425 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | A | 1120 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 2390 | 176 | 2900 | B | 1128 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 3000 | 176 | 2875 | A | 1125 |
| 5 | 17 | L. | 422 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | 13 | 1210 |
|  | 17 | 1. | 422 | 2450 | 410 | 2.350 | $A$ | 1215 |
|  | 4 | C | 179 | 2970 | 176 | 2900 | 13 | 1220 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 3020 | 176 | 2900 | A | 1228 |
| $-5$ | 17 | L | 423 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | B | 1315 |
|  | 17 | 1. | 423 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | A | 1320 |
|  | $4$ | C | 180 | 2990 | 175 | 2910 | B | 1322 |
|  | 4 | C | 189) | 2980 | 177 | 2910 | $A$ | 1325 |
| -15 | 17 | $L$ | 422 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | 13 | 1400 |
|  | 17 | 1. | 423 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | A | 1405 |
|  | 4 | C | 179 | 2960 | 176 | 2900 | B | 1408 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 2970 | 176 | 2900 | $A$ | 1412 |
| -25 |  |  | $420$ | $2450$ | $410$ | $2350$ |  |  |
|  | 17 | 1. | 420 | 2450 | 410 | 2.550 | $\therefore$ | $15: 3$ |
|  | 4 | C | 178 | 2960 | 176 | 2900 | B | 1518 |
|  | 4 | C | 178 | 2970 | 176 | 2900 | A | 1521 |
| $-3.3$ | 17 | 1. | 420 | 2450 | - | - | B | 1694 |
|  | 17 | 1. | 181 | - | - | - | A | - |
|  | 4 | C | 181 | 2970 | - | - | $B$ | 1627 |
|  | 4 | C | - | - | - | - | $\lambda$ | - |
| 25 | 17 | L | 427 | 2450 | 408 | 2350 | B | 0900 |
|  | 17 | L | 427 | 2450 | 410 | 2350 | A | 0903 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 3000 | 175 | 2875 | B | 0907 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 2990 | 175 | 2875 | A | 0909 |

* Measurement not made.

TABLEE 7
Lou-Temperature Test - 10 Mc

| Temp.$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Standard |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge F |  | Method of Initial Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Day } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ \left(0^{\prime} \mathrm{m}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \left(0^{\prime} \mathrm{m}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ (0 \mathrm{hm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \left(0^{\prime}: \mathrm{m}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | No, | L or C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 18 | L | 580 | 2150 | 540 | -200 | B | 1600 |
|  | 18 | L | 606 | 2300 | 550 | 235 C | A | 1063 |
|  | ? | C | 282 | 3760 | 286 | . .550 | B | 1008 |
|  | $?$ | C | 307 | 3700 | 293 | i 440 | A | 1612 |
| 15 | 18 | L | 550 | 2200 | 535 | 2100 | $B$ | 1122 |
|  | 18 | L | 570 | 2450 | 5.5 | 2450 | A | 11.30 |
|  | ? | c | 28. | 3680 | 273 | 3550 | B | 1135 |
|  | 3 | C | 305 | 3570 | 280 | 3360 | A | 1137 |
| 0 | 18 | L | 560 | 2200 | 530 | 3150 | B | 1235 |
|  | 18 | L | 575 | 3400 | 530 | $2 ? 50$ | A | 1240 |
|  | 3 | C | 277 | $36 \cdot 0$ | 275 | 356C | B | 1247 |
|  | 3 | C | ¢05 | 3570 | 273 | 3300 | A | 1249 |
| -15 | 18 | L | 535 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1337 |
|  | 18 | L | 520 | 2500 | 535 | 2350 | A | 1342 |
|  | 3 | C | 275 | 3800 | 273 | 3570 | B | 1346 |
|  | 3 | C | 267 | 2370 | 272 | 3.340 | A | 1351 |
| -. 32 | 18 | L | 530 | 2150 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1554 |
|  | 18 | L | 520 | 2450 | 525 | 2350 | A | 1600 |
|  | 3 | C | 270 | 3770 | 274 | 3570 | B | 1606 |
|  | 3 | C. | 265 | 2310 | 272 | 3.330 | A | 16:2 |
| 25 | 18 | I | 550 | 2150 | 530 | 21.50 | $\overline{3}$ | 0915 |
|  | 18 | 1 | 550 | 2350 | 5.30 | 2350 | A | 0920 |
|  | 3 | c | 280 | . 3770 | 2.74 | 3580 | B | 0923 |
|  | 3 | C | 280 | 3570 | 273 | 3380 | A | 0924 |

TABLE 8
High-Temperature Test - 0.4 Mc

| Temp).$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Standard |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge F |  | Method of Initial Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Day } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | X (ohm) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ \text { (ohm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \left(\mathrm{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | No. | L or C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 17 | L | 420 | 2450 | 408 | 2350 | B | 1143 |
|  | 17 | L | 420 | 2450 | 408 | 2350 | A | 1145 |
|  | 4 | C | 178 | 2990 | 174 | ?880 | B | 1147 |
|  | 4 | C | 178 | 2990 | 174 | 2900 | A | 1150 |
| 40 | 17 | L | 4.35 | 2450 | 405 | 2350 | B | $130 ?$ |
|  | 17 | L | 420 | 2450 | 405 | 2350 | A | 1311 |
|  | 4 | C | 178 | 3020 | 174 | 2200 | B | 1314 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 3000 | 174 | 2890 | A | 1315 |
| 50 | 17 | L | 425 | 2450 | 407 | 2350 | B | 1438 |
|  | 17 | L | 425 | 2450 | 405 | 2350 | A | 1440 |
|  | 4 | C | 178 | 3020 | 177 | 2900 | B | 1443 |
|  | 4 | C | 179 | 3010 | 178 | 2900 | A | 1444 |
| 60 | 17 | L | 430 | 2500 | 406 | 2350 | B | 1542 |
|  | 17 | L | 430 | 2500 | 405 | 2350 | A | 1545 |
|  | 4 | C | 180 | 3110 | 173 | 2900 | B | 1547 |
|  | 4 | C | 178 | 3090 | 173 | 2890 | A | 1551 |
| 25 | 17 | L | 460 | 2500 | 405 | 2350 | B | 0947 |
|  | 17 | L | 460 | 2500 | 405 | 2350 | A | 0950 |
|  | 4 | C | 182 | 3140 | 175 | 2910 | B | 6952 |
|  | 4 | C | 182 | 3140 | 175 | 2900 | A | 0955 |

TABLE 9
High-Temperature Test - 10 Mc

| Temp. $\left({ }^{0} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Standard |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge F |  | Method of Initial Balance | Time of Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} R \\ (\text { oinm }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (\text { ohm) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (\text { ohm }) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | No. | L or C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 18 | L | 555 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1035 |
|  | 18 | L | 555 | 2450 | 530 | 2350 | A | 1038 |
|  | 3 | C | 284 | 3900 | 276 | 3570 | B | 1041 |
|  | 3 | C | 284 | 3680 | 276 | 3380 | A | 1049 |
| 40 | 18 | L | 560 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1145 |
|  | 18 | L | 560 | 2400 | 530 | 2150 | A | 1147 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3920 | 276 | 3570 | B | 1150 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3680 | 276 | 3380 | A | 1152 |
| 50 | 18 | L | 560 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1320 |
|  | 18 | L | 560 | 2400 | 530 | 2150 | A | 1323 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3915 | 274 | 3570 | B | 1325 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3680 | 276 | 3370 | A | 1328 |
| 59 | 18 | L | 560 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1424 |
|  | 18 | L | 560 | 2400 | 530 | 2150 | A | 1428 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3905 | 273 | 3560 | B | 1430 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3680 | 274 | 3360 | A | 1432 |
| 25 | 18 | L | 560 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1616 |
|  | 18 | L | 560 | 2450 | 530 | 2150 | A | 1618 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3930 | 274 | 3550 | B | 1619 |
|  | 3 | C | 285 | 3730 | 274 | 3360 | A | 1622 |

TABLE 10
High-Humidity Test - 0.4 Mc

| Temp. <br> ( ${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{C}$ | Relative Humidity (㘓) | Standard |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge F |  | Method of Initial Balance | Time of Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  | No. | L or C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 38 | 17 | L | 465 | 2550 | 410 | 2350 | B | 1053 |
|  |  | 17 | L | 465 | 2550 | 410 | 2350 | A | 1055 |
|  |  | 4 | C | 184 | 3150 | 176 | 2900 | B | 1058 |
|  |  | 4 | C | 184 | 3170 | 176 | 2890 | A | 1100 |
| 25 | 95 | 17 | L | 470 | 2550 | 410 | 2350 | B | 1359 |
|  |  | 17 | L | 470 | 2550 | 410 | 2350 | A | 1401 |
|  |  | 4 | C | * | - | . | * | B | - |
|  |  | 4 | C | - | * | - | * | A | - |
| 40 | 95 | 17 | L | 475 | 2550 | 405 | 2350 | B | 1508 |
|  |  | 17 | L | 475 | 2550 | 405 | 2350 | A | 1513 |
|  |  | 4 | C | . | * | . | * | B | - |
|  |  | 4 | C | * | * | - | * | A | - |
| 25 | 36 | 17 | 1. | 455 | 2500 | 405 | 2350 | B | 0936 |
|  |  | 17 | L | 455 | 2500 | 403 | 2350 | A | 0938 |
|  |  | 4 | C | 182 | 3160 | 174 | 2900 | B | 0940 |
|  |  | 4 | C | 182 | 3160 | 174 | 2910 | A | 0942 |

[^10]TABLE 11
High-Humidity Test - 10 Mc

| Tenip. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Relative Humidity ( ( ${ }^{(1)}$ | Standara |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge $F$ |  | Method of lnitial Balance | Time of Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} R \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ \text { (ohm) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  | No. | L or C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 36 | 18 | 1. | 555 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1006 |
|  |  | 18 | L | 555 | 2400 | 530 | 2350 | A | 1009 |
|  |  | 3 | C | 283 | 3890 | 275 | 3570 | B | 1012 |
|  |  | 3 | C | 282 | 3660 | 275 | 3370 | A | :014 |
| 25 | 95 | 18 | 1. | 555 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1323 |
|  |  | 18 | L | 555 | 2400 | 530 | 2350 | A | 1326 |
|  |  |  | C | 275 | 3860 | 274 | 3570 | B | 1335 |
|  |  | 3 | C | 275 | 3670 | 275 | 3380 | A | 1337 |
| 40 | 95 | 18 | L | 560 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 1449 |
|  |  | 18 | L | 560 | 2400 | 530 | 2350 | A | 1452 |
|  |  | 3 | C | 28 ? | 3940 | 275 | 3560 | B | 1455 |
|  |  | 3 | C | 283 | 3710 | 276 | 3380 | A | 1458 |
| 26 | 42 | 18 | L | 555 | 2200 | 530 | 2150 | B | 0944 |
|  |  | 18 | L | 555 | 2400 | 530 | $\bigcirc 350$ | A | 0947 |
|  |  | 3 | C | 283 | 3900 | 274 | 3570 | B | 0950 |
|  |  | 3 | C | 233 | 3690 | 275 | 3370 | A | 0955 |

TABLE 12
High-Altitude Low-Temperature and High-Temperature Storage Tests

| Measurement Status | Frequency (Mc) | Standard |  | Bridge E |  | Bridge F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} \\ (0 \mathrm{~m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (0 \mathrm{hm}) \end{gathered}$ | $1 R$ (ohm) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{X} \\ (\mathrm{ohm}) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | No. | L or C |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Readings before High-Altitude Test | 0.4 | 15 | 1. | 170 | 2800 | 162 | 2600 |
|  |  | 7 | C | 9.8 | 4665 | 9.2 | 4200 |
|  | 30 | 19 | L. | 500 | 90 | 468 | 780 |
|  |  | 21 | C | 285 | 2280 | 268 | 1750 |
| Readings after High-Altitude Test and before LowTemperature Test | 0.4 | 15 | L | 170 | 2800 | 162 | 2550 |
|  |  | 7 | C | 3.8 | 4610 | 9.2 | 4185 |
|  | 30 | 19 | L | $\begin{gathered} 495 \text { to } \\ 500 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4010 \\ -80^{\circ} \end{gathered}$ | 467 | 790 |
|  |  | 21 | C | 282 | 2370 | 268 | 1750 |
| Readings after LowTemperature Test and before HighTemperature Test | 0.4 | 15 | 1. | 171 | 2800 | 162 | 2600 |
|  |  | 7 | C | 10 | 4575 | 9.1 | 4180 |
|  | 30 | 19 | L | 500 | $\begin{aligned} & -65 \text { to } \\ & -125 . \end{aligned}$ | 470 | 770 |
|  |  | 21 | C | 28.4 | 2350 | 268 | 1750 |
| Readings <br> after High- <br> Temperature <br> Tes: | 0.4 | 15 | 1 | 172 | 2800 | 162 | 2600 |
|  |  | 7 | C | 10 | 4600 | 9.1 | 4175 |
|  | 30 | 19 | 1. | 505 | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 75 \\ & \hline 50 \end{aligned}$ | 468 | 750 |
|  |  | 21 | C | 286 | 2360 | 268 | 1750 |

A bromd reactance balance was chatracteristic of these measulionemis.

Low-Temperature Storage - The bridge was then stibjected to a 16 -hour storage at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 24 -hour storage at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the relative humidity was maintained between 35 and 40 percent for the $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ test. Relatively little change in readings occurred, and standard 19 measured somewhat more capacitive (Tables 5 and 12). While making the measurements after this test, it was discovered that the final reactance indication on bridge $E$ was dependent upon the amount of pressure applied to the resistance-balance knob during its adjustment.

High-Temperature Storage - Next the bridge ans exposed to a high-temperature storage test at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours, and low relative humidity was matntained. Again, only small changes occurred in the measured values with one exceptionthe test bridge now measured standard 19 at 70 to 75 ohms inductive reactance.

## Effects of Mechanical Abuse

Following the series of environmental tests, the bridge was scheduled to have vibration and shock tests. The bridge, however, became inoperative early in the vibration test, and it was not subjected to shock.

With the cover in place, the bridge was secured to the vibration table by two metal straps fitted over the top of the case. The bridge panel was horizontal and parallel with the vibration table. The bridge was then subjected to vibration tests as outlined in Mititary Specification MIL-T-945A. ${ }^{10}$ The first period of vibration lasted 15 minutes, and the frequency varied from 10 to 33 cps and return approximately every 30 seconds at a total excursion of 0.06 inch. Comparison of measurements before and after this run shou little change (Table 13). As a result of the vibration, two panel screws, four screws holding the reactance unit. and the high-potential terminal all came loose to some extent. All screws. however, were returned to their normal condition before the next vibration run which was the same as the first except the top frequency was changed from 33 to 55 cps . After this run, the bridge became completely inoperative. There was no apparent null on either the resistance or reactance initial-balance controls for the wo-frequency range. In the highfrequency range, a sligit null appearing oniy on the resistance initial-balance control seemed to occur at a capacitance timit. Inspection of the bridge components disclosed no definite reason for the bridge becoming inoperative.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

This detailed study of the General Radio 916-A r-f bridge has shown that the nodification of certain operational and design features would be desirable, particularly when the unit is Intended for military field use. The following modificatlons are recommended.
(a) It would be desirable to use only one transformer to cover the frequency range or, if two transformers are necessary, provide panel switching so that the transformers can be permanently mounted.
(b) A wrench should be provided if transformer clanging is necessary.
(c) If more than one transformer is used, the appropriate frequency coverage for each should be shown on the front panel.

[^11]
## RESTRICTED

SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE 13
Vibration Test

| Measurement Status | Frequency (Mc) | Standard |  | Bridge E |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | R | X |
|  |  | No. | L or C | (ohm) | (ohm) |
| Readings before vibration | 0.4 | 15 | L | 172 | 2800 |
|  |  | 7 | C | 10 | 4600 |
|  | 30 | 12 | L | 1.8 | 4800 |
|  |  | 21 | C | 286 | 2360 |
| Readings after first 15 minute vibration run | 0.4 | 15 | L | 168 | 2900 |
|  |  | 7 | C | 10 | 4780 |
|  | 30 | 12 | L | 1.7 | 4800 |
|  |  | 21 | C | 280 | 2350 |

Note: After second 15 minute wibration run the bridee was
inoperative.
(d) Initial balance controls should have stops or at least a notation on the front panel or each knob to show how many turns effect complete control.
(e) A higher drive ratio on the initial balance controls would be desirable to make their adjustment to balance less critical.
(f) A similar increase in ratio would be desirable for the reactance scale.
(g) Consideration should be given to the desirability of spreading the reactance scale over more degrees of arc or using a larger diameter scale.
(h) The resistor contained in the plug of the unknown connecting leads should be placed inside the bridge so that these special leads are not necessary.
(i) Insulating materials having a mamum change in pronerties under varyine atmos pheric conditions should be used throughout.
(j) The case should be all metal, preferably aluminum.
(k) Size and weight should be reduced to a practical ninimum.
(1) The bridge should be completely ruggedized to withstand normal shock and vibration requirements.
(m) A more complete and detailed instruction book should be provided for maintenance and calibration checking procedures.

## SUMMARY

Since the General Radio type 916-A r-f bridge is an instrument intended for normal laboratory and "protected" field usage, it was not expected to meet the usual requirements for military test instruments. Its performance, however, during the temperature and humidity tests, was better than had been anticipated. This type of instrument, because of its field of use, will not be subjected to the most rigorous military treatment. With proper modification of certain features as outlined, it is believed that a bridge of the 916-A type can be developed to fulfill military requirements.
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 The perforance of the $916-k$ was tetien identifying transformers and ingicating which one is in the cireuit. (c) the lack of a tool
 transformer to cover the entire 'requency range. (iniermal swifining i' nore than one transformer is required, (b) an improved are ratio and stoos fo- the inital balance con-
trols, (c) an increased drive ratio and 3 longer scale for the resctance contral. (d) the removil of the resistor from the plug of the unknom connecting bigas and its instrition in iner
 aatisfactory for military use.
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 transformer to cove the entire 'requency range. or internal beitening io wore ing on on transformer ia required, (b) an iaproved drive ratio ons btops for the inltial balance con-
trols, (c) an increased drive ratio and a lumger sca e or the reactance control. (d) the trols, (c) an increased drive ratio and a the zer sce for ine reactance contral. (d) the removal of the reaistor from the olug of the chonom connecting leat and it
eatisfactory for military wae.
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